### Differences In pH<sub>i</sub> Recovery In CO<sub>2</sub>-Chemosensitive And Non-Chemosensitive Cells: Predictions From A Mathematical Model

Juan M. Cordovez, Chris Clausen, Leon C. Moore, and Irene C. Solomon

Abstract—in this paper, we present a mathematic model designed to identify potential mechanisms responsible for the observed differences in  $pH_i$  recovery in CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive versus non-chemosensitive cells. The model suggests that differences in  $pH_i$  regulation may be dependent upon differences in the activation set-point of the internal modifier site of the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger (NHE).

#### I. INTRODUCTION

TUMEROUS studies have suggested that a decrease in intracellular pH (pH<sub>i</sub>) is the primary stimulus for CO<sub>2</sub> sensing in central CO<sub>2</sub> chemoreceptors. In CO<sub>2</sub> chemosensitive neurons, an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> leads to a maintained reduction in pH<sub>i</sub> while in non-chemosensitive neurons pH<sub>i</sub> recovery is observed [1]. Regulation of pH<sub>i</sub> in most cells is dependent upon the rate of CO<sub>2</sub> hydration/dehydration, intrinsic buffering capacity, Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange (NHE), and HCO3<sup>-</sup>/Cl<sup>-</sup> exchange (AE). Thus, increased levels of CO<sub>2</sub>, which lead to the rapid hydration of  $CO_2$  to  $H^+$  and  $HCO3^-$  (a reaction catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase, CA), result in a fall in pH<sub>i</sub>, which is partially rapidly offset by intracellular buffering and transmembrane extrusion of  $H^+$ . Although the precise mechanism(s) for the differential regulation of pH<sub>i</sub> recovery in CO<sub>2</sub> chemosensitive versus non-chemosensitive neurons remains to be identified, numerous in vitro studies have begun to evaluate the role of NHE in pH<sub>i</sub> regulation in these cell populations [1-5]. These studies have demonstrated that functional NHE is necessary for pH<sub>i</sub> recovery, and they suggest that impairment of normal NHE activity may be responsible for the lack of pH<sub>i</sub> recovery in chemosensitive neurons [1-3]. An alternate explanation suggests that expression of different NHE isoforms may be responsible for this differential regulation, with the NHE-3 isoform, instead of the NHE-1 isoform (found in most neurons), playing a primary role in  $CO_2$  sensing [4-5]. To

Manuscript received May 15, 2006. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health under Grant DK66124.

J. M. Cordovez is with the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794 USA. (e-mail: jcordove@ic.sunysb.edu).

C. Clausen is with the Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794 USA. (E-mail: Chris.Clausen@sunysb.edu).

L. C. Moore is with the Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794 USA. (E-mail: Leon.Moore@sunysb.edu).

I. C. Solomon is with the Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794 USA. (Phone: 631-444-1043; fax: 631-444-3432; E-mail: <u>Irene.Solomon@sunysb.edu</u>). evaluate these possibilities, we developed a mathematical model to investigate potential mechanisms participating in  $pH_i$  regulation in response to simulated hypercapnic acidosis. The current model extends the recent model proposed by Hempleman and Posner [6], which utilized a simplification of basic acid-base chemistry to assess mechanisms of  $pH_i$  regulation in intrapulmonary chemoreceptors (IPC), which show an inverse response to elevated levels of  $CO_2$  (*i.e.*, high  $CO_2$  decreases IPC discharge).

#### II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

The current model incorporates conservation of mass and electroneutrality constraints, kinetic models of the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, AE, NHE, and passive permeation pathways for ions, nonelectrolytes, and H<sub>2</sub>O. H<sup>+</sup> buffering (both inside and out) is handled by multiple buffer species all subject to the isohydric principle. A more detailed description of the model is provided below:

#### A. State Equations and Buffers

Cell volume determined by water flux:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -AJ_w$$
Intracellular solute concentrations  
determined by solute and water  
fluxes:

 $C_i^o$ 

Cell where arrows denote

positive flux.

$$\frac{V}{A}\frac{dC_i}{dt} = C_i^i J_w - J_i$$

where subscript *i* denotes solute species.

Extracellular concentrations ( $C_i^o$ ) are constant.

Total acid concentration (superscripts i and o omitted):

$$H_T = C_{H^+} + C_{H_2CO_3} + C_{H_3PO_4} + C_{NH}$$

Total buffer concentrations:  

$$B_{blcarb} = C_{H_2CO_3} + C_{HCO_3}$$
  
 $B_{phosphate} = C_{H_2PO_4} + C_{HOO_4^2}$   
 $B_{ammonia} = C_{NH_4^+} + C_{NH_3}$ 

 $C_{\text{H}^+}$  must adhere to the isohydric principle, and is determined by solving numerically (e.g., Newton's method):

$$H_T = C_{H^+} + \sum_j \frac{C_{H^+}B_j}{C_{H^+} + K_a^j}$$
, where *j* denotes buffer system.

Concentrations of other buffer species determined from respective B's and  $K_a$ 's.

#### B. Solute and Water Fluxes

For nonelectrolytes, simple diffusion:

$$J_i^{passive} = P_i \left( C_i^i - C_i^o \right)$$

For electrolytes, ionic currents:

$$i_i = g_i \left( E_m - E_i \right)$$

where  $E_i$  is the Nernst potential

$$E_i = \frac{RT}{z_i F} \log_e \frac{C_i^o}{C_i^i}$$

Electrolyte fluxes given by

$$J_i^{passive} = \frac{i_i}{z_i F}$$

Water flux occurs via osmosis

$$J_w = P_w \sum_i \sigma_i \left( C_i^o - C_i^i \right)$$

Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase (sodium pump): cooperativity binding model

$$i_p = i_{max} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{K_K}{C_K^o} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{K_{Na}}{C_{Na}^i} \right)^3 \right]$$

Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> pump fluxes given by

$$J_{Na}^{p} = 3i_{p}/F$$
 and  $J_{K}^{p} = -2i_{p}/F$ 

#### C. Hydration/Dehydration of CO<sub>2</sub>

Bicarbonate buffer system described by:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \xleftarrow{k_b}{\longleftarrow} H_2CO_3 \xleftarrow{K_a}{\longleftarrow} H^+ + HCO_3^-$$
not in equilibrium

Hydration of CO2 results in a chemically-produced flux of H2CO3

$$J_{\rm H_2CO_3}^{chem} = \frac{V}{A} \left( k_d C_{\rm H_2CO_3}^i - k_h C_{\rm CO_2}^i \right)$$

CO<sub>2</sub> readily permeable, constant throughout:

$$C_{\rm CO_2}^o = C_{\rm CO_2}^i = 0.03 \times P_{\rm CO_2}$$

### D. $HCO3^{-}/Cl^{-}$ and $Na^{+}/H^{+}$ Exchange

### Anion exchanger: Jexch

HCO!

Kinetics of anion exchanger by Chang and Fujita (*AJP Renal*, 281:F222, 2001): the transporter has a binding site that competes for Cl<sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

The transporter is in equilibrium resulting in a constant net flux  $(J_{ner}, \text{ figure right})$ .

Rate constants  $(k_1 - k_{12})$  are appropriate for rat distal tubular cells. The values are thermodynamically consistent; zero net transport occurs when  $C_{\text{HCO}_{7}}^{*}C_{\text{CI}^{-}} = C_{\text{HCO}_{7}}^{*}C_{\text{CI}^{-}}^{*}$ .

The transporter also has an <u>internal</u> modifier site (not shown) that binds to Cl<sup>-</sup> or HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Occupancy of the site effectively reduces the amount of functional enzyme, thereby reducing net transport.



#### Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange: J<sup>NaH</sup>

Kinetics of Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> by Weinstein (*J.Gen.Physiol.*, 105:617, 1995): 2001): the transporter has a binding site that competes for Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>. The site also has a finite affinity for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, permitting Na<sup>+</sup>/NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> exchange.



Ion binding is rapid relative to membrane translocation; binding is assumed in equilibriuim.

The model incorporates an internal modifier site (not shown) that <u>enhances</u> transport (increases  $P_{Na*}$ ,  $P_H$  and  $P_{NH4}$ ) in response to a rise in intracellular [H<sup>+</sup>], an experimental finding in renal microvillous vesicles (Aronson *et al.*, *Nature* 299:161, 1983).

#### E. Characteristics of NHE-1 and NHE-3



 $J_{\text{Na}}$  through Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter model of Weinstein (*J. Gen. Physiol.* 105:617, 1995). NHE-3:  $pK_i = 6.45$ NHE-1:  $pK_i = 6.75$ 

#### Effect of internal modifier on Na+/H+ exchange

Intracellular acidification causes an increase in Na<sup>+</sup> flux via the exchanger (figure). The modifier is described by two parameters:  $f^{M} (= 2)$  is the factor rise in  $P_{Na^{-}} K_{I} (= 10^{-6} \text{ M})$  is the [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> producing half-maximal effect.



#### F. Steady-state Concentrations/Fluxes: Non-acid Species



#### G. Steady-state Concentrations/Fluxes: Acid Species



#### H. Summary of the Model

The model consists of 10 coupled differential equations, one for each of the following variables:

$$V, C_{Na^+}^{i}, C_{K^+}^{i}, C_{Cl^-}^{i}, C_{X^-}^{i}, C_{Y^0}^{i}, C_{H_T}^{i}, C_{B_{blcorb}}^{l}, C_{B_{absorbate}}^{l}$$
 and  $C_{B_{absorbate}}^{l}$ 

Initial conditions are specified, and the equations are integrated numerically as a function of time.

The equations are moderately stiff, owing mainly to the high water permeability  $(P_w)$  compared to the solute permeabilities; an adaptive ODE solver appropriate for stiff systems of equations (e.g., Gear's method) is used. The variables are solved to a relative tolerance of  $10^{-6}$  or an absolute tolerance of  $10^{-9}$ .

The model is coded in MATLAB<sup>®</sup>, and executes under its interactive programming environment.

#### III. RESULTS

#### A. Testing the Model: Simulated Hypercapnic Acidosis and NHE-1 vs. NHE-3

To test the hypothesis that differences between CO<sub>2</sub> chemosensitive and non-chemosensitive cells is due to different NHE isoforms, we compared the  $pH_i$  response to simulated hypercapnic acidosis using the model with the kinetics of the NHE-1 isoform and the NHE-3 isoform (Fig. 1). The model demonstrates that  $pH_i$  recovery is seen with both NHE isoforms, suggesting that the differences between CO<sub>2</sub> chemosensitive and non-chemosensitive cells are not due to different NHE isoforms.





## *B. Testing the Model: Blockade of NHE (Amiloride Simulation)*

To test the hypothesis that  $pH_i$  recovery is dependent upon NHE activity, we examined the effects of simulated blockade of NHE on  $pH_i$  recovery (Fig. 2). The model demonstrates that  $pH_i$  recovery is impaired in a dose-dependent manner with inhibition of NHE activity (simulation of amiloride effects), supporting a role for NHE in  $pH_i$  recovery.



Figure 2. pH<sub>i</sub> responses to simulated amiloride.

#### C. Testing the Model: Reduced NHE Activity

To test the hypothesis that lack of  $pH_i$  recovery in  $CO_2$ -chemosensitive cells is due to low NHE activity, we examined the effects of reduced NHE activity (*i.e.*, partial blockade of NHE) on  $pH_i$  recovery (Fig. 3). The model demonstrates that  $pH_i$  recovery is seen during low NHE activity, suggesting that other mechanisms are responsible. It should also be noted that the model demonstrates that reduced NHE activity is sufficient to decrease  $pH_i$  in the absence of increase  $CO_2$  and the  $pH_i$  fall during simulated hypercapnia is exacerbated, suggesting that NHE activity plays an important role in maintaining basal  $pH_i$ .



Figure 3.  $pH_{\rm i}$  responses to simulated hypercapnic acidosis during reduced NHE activity.

# D. Testing the Model: Regulation of NHE (Shift in Set-point $(pK_l)$ of Internal Modifier Site

To test the hypothesis that lack of  $pH_i$  recovery in  $CO_2$ -chemosensitive cells is due to a shift in activation of the NHE, we examined the effects of shifting the activation

set-point of the internal modifier site of the NHE (Fig. 4). The model demonstrates that  $pH_i$  recovery is attenuated or abolished when the activation set-point of the internal modifier site of the NHE is shifted to a higher pH, suggesting that differences in regulation of the NHE may account for differences between CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive and non-chemosensitive cells.



Figure 4.  $pH_i$  responses to simulated hypercapnic acidosis following a shift in the activation set-point of the NHE. To correct for the fall in basal  $pH_i$ , NHE activity was also reduced.

# E. Testing the Model: Effects of Blockade of NHE Following Shift in $pK_1$ (CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive Cell)

To further evaluate the role of NHE in the blunted  $pH_i$  recovery response identified following a shift in activation set-point of the internal modifier site of the NHE (*i.e.*, the CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive cell), we examined the effects of simulated blockade of NHE on  $pH_i$  after shifting the activation set-point (Fig. 5). The model demonstrates that  $pH_i$  recovery is not observed during simulated amiloride in the CO<sub>2</sub>-sensitive cell but is seen with sustained hypercapnia following removal of amiloride, similar to the experimental data of Ritucci et al. [1].



Figure 5.  $pH_i$  responses to simulated hypercapnic acidosis and blockade of NHE in a CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosentitive cell (*i.e.*, cell with shift in activation set-point of the NHE).

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In response to simulated hypercapnia, the model incorporating either the NHE-1 or NHE-3 isoform show pH<sub>i</sub> recovery that is dependent on NHE (based on simulation of amiloride effects); thus, different NHE isoforms cannot explain the differences between CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive and non-chemosensitive cells. In addition, pH<sub>i</sub> recovery is dependent upon NHE activity, and inhibition of NHE is sufficient to decrease pH<sub>i</sub> in the absence of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and it enhances the fall in pH<sub>i</sub> during simulated hypercapnia. Finally, the model demonstrates that differences in pH<sub>i</sub> regulation in CO<sub>2</sub>-chemosensitive versus non-chemosensitive neurons may be dependent upon differences in the set-point of the internal modifier site of the NHE, which can be differentially regulated in the different NHE isoforms by numerous signaling pathways (e.g., activation of PKA and PKC, increased levels of cAMP, cGMP, and  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ ). Additional H<sup>+</sup> extrusion pathways as well as the role of the AE need to be explored to identify other mechanisms involved in pH<sub>i</sub> regulation in CO<sub>2</sub> chemosensitive neurons.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was initiated as part of a graduate course entitled *Mathematical Modeling of Physiological and Biophysical Systems*. The course was developed with financial support from a NIH/NIDDK Curriculum Development Grant.

#### REFERENCES

- N.A. Ritucci, J.B. Dean, and R.W. Putnam, "Intracellular pH response to hypercapnia in neurons from chemosensitive areas of the medulla" *Am J Physiol* 273: R433-441, 1997.
- [2] N.A. Ritucci, L. Chambers-Kersh, J.B. Dean, and R.W. Putnam, "Intracellular pH regulation in neurons from chemosensitive and nonchemosensitive areas of the medulla" *Am J Physiol* 275: R1152-1163, 1998.
- [3] R.W. Putnam, "Intracellular pH regulation of neurons in chemosensitive and nonchemosensitive areas of brain slices" *Respir Physiol* 129: 37-56, 2001.
- [4] M. Wiemann and D. Bingmann, "Ventrolateral neurons of medullary organotypic cultures: intracellular pH regulation and bioelectric activity" *Respir Physiol* 129: 57-70, 2001.
- [5] M. Wiemann, J.R. Schwark, U. Bonnet, H.W. Jansen, S. Grinstein, R.E. Baker, H.J. Lang, K. Wirth, and D. Bingmann, "Selective inhibition of the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger type 3 activates CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sup>+</sup>-sensitive medullary neurons" *Pflugers Arch* 438: 255-262, 1999.
- [6] S.C. Hempleman and R.G. Posner, "CO<sub>2</sub> transduction mechanisms in avian intrapulmonary chemoreceptors: experiments and models" *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 144: 203-214, 2004.
- [7] H. Chang and T. Fujita, "A numerical model of acid-base transport in rat distal tubule" *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 281: F222-243, 2001.
- [8] A.M. Weinstein, "A kinetically defined Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter within a mathematical model of the rat proximal tubule" *J Gen Physiol* 105: 617-641, 1995.
- [9] P.S. Aronson, M.A. Suhm, and J. Nee, "Interaction of external H<sup>+</sup> with the Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchanger in renal microvillus membrane vesicles" *J Biol Chem* 258: 6767-6771, 1983.