

Using Microstate Intensity for the Analysis of Spontaneous EEG: Tracking Changes from Alert to the Fatigue State

Ranjit A. Thuraisingham, Yvonne Tran[#], Ashley Craig, Nirupama Wijesuriya and Hung Nguyen,
Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract— Fatigue is a negative symptom of many illnesses and also has major implications for road safety. This paper presents results using a method called microstate segmentation (MSS). It was used to distinguish changes from an alert to a fatigue state. The results show a significant increase in MSS instantaneous amplitude during the fatigue state. Plotting the linear gradient of the nonlinear part of the phase data from the MSS also showed a significant difference ($P < 0.01$) in the gradients of the alert state compared to the fatigue state. The results suggest that MSS can be used in analyzing spontaneous electroencephalography (EEG) signals to detect changes in physiological states. The results have implications for countermeasures used in detecting fatigue.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fatigue is a symptom of many acute and chronic illnesses as well as being associated with the “wear and tear” of normal everyday healthy functioning [1]. It can be defined as a state that involves psychological/mental and physical tiredness or exhaustion with a vast range of symptoms including tired eyes, yawning, increased blinking, poor concentration, and low motivation. Fatigue also has major implications for road safety as it has been identified as a major cause of road accidents worldwide and is believed to account for up to 40% of road crashes [2]. Fatigue related driving accidents are thought to be a result of a decrement in performance of the driver caused by the reduction in his/her level of arousal [3]. To understand this phenomenon there have been studies that have investigated the association between brain electrical activity using electroencephalography (EEG), and the onset of fatigue symptoms. In the EEG, an increased level of alpha activity is often associated with decreased cortical arousal and an increase in beta activity has been associated with increased cortical arousal [4]. Thus the majority of studies that have investigated changes in spectral activity during fatigue, have

found, for instance, an increase of low frequency EEG waves such as delta (0.5-3.5), theta (4-7.5Hz) and alpha (8-13Hz), while higher frequency waves such as beta rhythms (14-32Hz) will decrease [5].

A novel approach to understanding how brain function changes as a result of decreased arousal levels during fatigue states is to study the microstate segmentations of brain activity. The algorithm used in this paper is based on the technique of microstate segmentation of brain activity [6, 7], defined as a functional or physiological brain state during which specific neural computations are performed. It is characterised by fixed spatial distributions of active neuronal generators with time varying intensities [6].

In this paper we analyzed the temporal properties of spontaneous brain activity during two different arousal or physiological states (alert versus fatigue). The EEG recordings consisted of time varying measurements of scalp electric potential field and reflect the dynamics of the functional state of the brain. It was hypothesized that the topographic time course of the scalp electric field can be segmented into stable scalp maps called microstates. In EEG, electric potential variations occur as a result of neuronal firing of the brain. Neurons generate small electrical variation which summed over a region provides the potential variation in that space. This is measured at the various scalp electrodes. In addition, this variation is also measured as a function of time. Instead of analyzing the scalp electrode data in terms of wave shapes, the dynamics used in this analysis was based on microstates. Although this approach was originally designed for electrical activity performed on particular tasks called Event Related Potentials (ERP), it can also be applied to spontaneous EEG [6]. In ERP one expects microstates to be more stable during particular tasks, unlike that found in spontaneous EEG. Therefore, instead of examining the noisy structure of the microstate distribution, we focused on the analysis of the time series.

II. METHODS

A. Participants

Fifty participants were randomly selected from a community population to participate in the study. Data from eleven participants could not be used due to impedance problems in one or more electrodes leading to loss of EEG data. Only 39 participants remained and the mean age of this group was 31.1 years (S.D. = 12.3 years), ranging between 18 and 55 years. Subjects were stratified for sex in order to balance the number of males and female participants

Manuscript received April 7, 2009. This work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Linkage Granting Scheme under Grant LP0560590.

[#]Corresponding author: Y. Tran is with the Key University Research Centre in Health Technologies, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology, Sydney (phone: 612-9808-0525; fax: : 612-9809-9037; e-mail: Yvonne.Tran@uts.edu.au).

R. A. Thuraisingham, is consultant for the Rehabilitation Studies Unit, University of Sydney, (e-mail: ranjit@optusnet.com.au).

A. Craig and N. Wijesuriya are with the Rehabilitation Studies Unit, University of Sydney, (e-mail: a.craig@med.usyd.edu.au and nwij@mail.usyd.edu.au)

H. T. Nguyen is with the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Technology, Sydney (email: Hung.Nguyen@uts.edu.au).

in the study. The final number of subjects consisted of 20 females and 19 males. Participants were only admitted into the study if they were currently healthy (as determined by a structured interview before the study), held a current drivers license and reported no prior brain disease or injury. The study was approved by the institutional research ethics committee and participants were only entered into the study after informed consent.

B. Experimental Procedure and EEG Analysis

In order to create a change in arousal levels leading to fatigue, the experiment condition consisted of assessing vigilance using a cognitive-motor vigilance task called the Divided Attention Steering Simulator (DASS) (Stowood Scientific Instruments). Throughout the task, physiological signals and participants' faces were videoed while performing the simulated driving so that information on when subjects fatigued would be known. EEG signals were also simultaneously taken to measure the neurophysiological changes associated with fatigue.

Participants were instructed to 'drive' in the centre of the road (shown on the computer screen) till they showed definite signs of fatigue. The task was considered monotonous because the participants were required to drive at slow speeds (around 40-60km/hr) for an extended period of time in a noise, stimulus and temperature controlled laboratory. At the same time as driving, vigilance and performance was measured from a reaction time task whereby they were required to respond to a target number that appeared in any of the four corners of the computer screen at random times. Participation in the task was terminated by the researcher when participants showed definite signs of fatigue such as (i) fatigue related facial symptoms such as nodding and prolonged eye closure or (ii) when they deviated off the road for more than 15 s.

EEG within the first 5 minutes of starting the driving task was selected as "alert" EEG and another selection was taken within the 5 minute interval where participants were deemed as fatigued before the task was terminated. Thirty-two channel EEG data from the 39 participants was analyzed using the microstate segmentation technique. The EEG data was preprocessed so that it was free of artifact. Artifact was removed using a program which employs second-order blind identification (SOBI) and canonical correlation. Two EEG segments, each of 20s duration, were chosen from the 5 minutes of alert and fatigue states. The first 20 seconds with the least movement artifact was taken for analysis. This was combined into 40 seconds for the microsegmentation analysis to be carried out on the composite record.

C. Microstate segmentation model (MSS)

The EEG of the brain can be viewed as a sequence of non-overlapping states with variable duration and intensity. The scalp data is represented in terms of a set of normalized vectors T_k , which are the different microstates. At each time t , the multi-channel data is considered to belong to a microstate T_k with a particular intensity which is stored in a matrix A [6].

Suppose V_t is a $N_s \times 1$ vector consisting of measured scalp potential at the N_s scalp electrodes at time t ($t=1, 2, \dots, N_T$). Further, let T_k be the normalized $N_s \times 1$ vector that represents the k^{th} microstate and a_{kt} be the intensity at time t . The microstate model for the average reference multi-channel data is then represented as:

$$V_t = \sum_{k=1}^{N_\mu} a_{kt} T_k \quad (1)$$

where N_μ is the number of microstates. In order to allow for non-overlapping microstates at each time instant, all a_{kt} must be zero except one. Thus at each time instant, the summation in (1) reduces to one non-zero term, corresponding to a single active microstate.

The parameters required to estimate the model are a_{kt} , T_k and N_μ . The method adopted in this paper is the same basic N microstate algorithm described in [6]. For a given N_μ , the process is started with L_t , $t=1, \dots, N_T$, which are the microstate labels associated with each V_t . We then compute:

$$S_k = \sum_{t \in L_t=k}^{N_T} V_t * V_t' \quad (2)$$

The summation here includes only the time points where $L_t = k$. The normalized microstate T_k is then obtained as the normalized eigenvector corresponding to the largest Eigen value. In equation (2), V_t' is the transpose of V_t . The microstate T_k associated with the observation V_t is the value of T_k which minimizes

$$d_{kt}^2 = V_t' * V_t - (V_t' * T_k)^2 \quad (3)$$

Thus, a new set of microstate labels are obtained. We then evaluate the function

$$\sigma_\mu^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{N_T} (V_t' * V_t - (T_k' * V_t)^2) / (N_T (N_s - 1)) \quad (4)$$

The process is iterated till successive values of (4) differ negligibly. Once convergence is attained for a particular N_μ , we calculate

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_\mu^2 [(N_s - 1)^{-1} (N_s - 1 - N_\mu)]^{-2} \quad (5)$$

N_μ is then estimated by minimizing σ^2 .

Once N_μ is estimated, the intensity a_{kt} of the microstate T_k which is associated with V_t for that N_μ is obtained from

$$a_{kt} = V_t' * T_k \quad (6)$$

D. Analysis of MSS Intensity $\{a_{kt}\}$

EEG recordings consist of time varying measurements of scalp electric potential and reflect the dynamics of the functional state of the brain. The topographic time course of these scalp electric field can be segmented into stable scalp maps called microstates. The observation is thus expressed by a finite set of microstates, where each time t corresponds to a microstate k with an associated coefficient a_{kt} . This coefficient reflects the polarity and strength of the neuronal generators which gives rise to this microstate. It is referred [6,7] to as the microstate intensity.

The coefficient a_{kt} of the microstate T_k is related to the intensity of the neuronal generators responsible for this microstate at time t . For each time t we have a value of a_{kt} . In this section we examined the amplitude and phase of the envelope of the time series $\{a_{kt}\}$. This is explained in this section. Consider a real time series $\{x(t)\}$. The analytic signal $y(t)$ is defined by:

$$y(t) = x(t) + ih(t) \quad (7)$$

where $h(t)$ is the Hilbert transform of $x(t)$,

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x(t')}{t' - t} dt' \quad (8)$$

Here P is the Cauchy principal value. It can be shown [8], that if

$$x(t) = R[a(t)e^{i\phi(t)}] \quad (9)$$

where R is the real part, then

$$y(t) = a(t)e^{i\phi(t)} \quad (10)$$

The instantaneous amplitude and phase of the real time series $\{x(t)\}$ is the amplitude and phase of the analytic signal. The phase of the analytic signal is made continuous using the Matlab routine *unwrap*, which unwraps the phase to make it continuous across the π .

III. RESULTS

In this study we examined whether the amplitude and phase information from $\{a_{kt}\}$ of MSS is able to distinguish the two states “alert” and “fatigue”. Since the MSS was carried out on the composite record (alert (A) (at 0-20s), then fatigue (F) (at 21-40s)), the same type of microstate will represent both alert and fatigue states. However the number of each type of microstate present in alert and fatigue states will be different. The MSS analysis shows that the microstates necessary for proper representation of the composite EEG data varied from 3 to 5. The number of each these microstates in the alert and fatigue states varied. These numbers presented no obvious feature in the records analyzed, to discriminate alert from fatigue.

Fig. 1 shows the MSS of spontaneous EEG during alert and fatigue state. The top left plot of Fig. 1 shows the

instantaneous amplitude ($a(t)$) of $\{a_{kt}\}$ obtained from a composite EEG record. The top right plot shows the average of $a(t)$ ($avg(t)$), evaluated at each time t using the previous 4 seconds of $a(t)$. Thus $avg(t) = \text{mean}(amp(t-4:t))$, where the initial value of $t=4$. The result is shown for increments of t of 1 second, beyond $t=4$. The bottom left shows the phase $\phi(t)$ of $\{a_{kt}\}$ obtained from EEG records. Although $\phi(t)$ appears to be linear, it has structure. This is seen in the bottom right plot where $r(t)$ is drawn, which is the non linear part of $\phi(t)$. It is given by:

$$r(t) = \phi(t) - (c_0 + c_1 t) \quad (11)$$

where c_0, c_1 are the intercept and slope of the linear fit to $\phi(t)$.

The results of Figure 1 show that there is an increase in the amplitude after 20s, in the fatigue state. Further the non-linear of the phase ($r(t)$) shows a change in the direction of the gradient at $t=21$ s.




Fig. 1. MSS of spontaneous EEG during alert (0-20s) to fatigue (21-40s) states: The instantaneous amplitude $a(t)$ (top left), $avg(a(t))$ (top right); phase $\phi(t)$ (bottom left); $r(t)$ (bottom right). All plots are drawn as a function of time.

In order to investigate whether the increase in amplitude going from alert to the fatigue state is significantly different, the mean amplitude in the alert state (0-20 s) and the mean amplitude in the fatigue state (21-40s) were evaluated.

Fig. 2 shows a plot of the mean amplitude of the different subjects in the alert state against the difference in the mean amplitude between alert and fatigue. The results indicate that in the majority of cases there is an increase in the amplitude in going from alert to fatigue. Dependent t-test analysis revealed significant differences between states ($t=5.68$; $p>0.01$).




Fig. 2. Plot of the difference in the mean amplitude of (Fatigue-Alert) for different mean amplitudes of alert state. F= Fatigue, A= Alert

Figure 3 examines the linear gradient of the nonlinear part of the phase ($r(t)$) in the alert and fatigue region. Plotted along the x axis is the gradient of the linear fit of $r(t)$ in the A region (between $t=0$ to 20s). It is denoted by $m(A)$. The values along the y axis are the absolute difference between the gradients of the linear fit of $r(t)$ in the F region $m(F)$ ($t=21$ to 40s) and the A region $m(A)$ ($t=0$ to 20s). It is denoted by $|m(F)-m(A)|$. The results indicate in general, that there is a difference in the gradient in $r(t)$ in going from A to F. Dependent t-test analysis showed significant differences in the gradients of the two states ($t=7.65$; $p>0.01$).




Fig. 3. Plot of the absolute difference in the gradient $|m(F)-m(A)|$ for different gradients of $m(A)$. The gradients are obtained by $r(t)$. F= Fatigue, A= Alert

IV. CONCLUSION

The paper examined the use of microstate intensity in analyzing spontaneous EEG data, where the data comprised of recordings of alert and fatigue state in 39 adult participants. The two EEG records were combined and MSS analysis was carried out on the composite record. The focus of this study was to investigate the use of the one dimensional microstate intensity data to analyze the

composite record. We examined one aspect of this series, which was the analysis of the instantaneous amplitude and phase of the envelope time series of the microstate intensities. The results indicated that the amplitude and phase of the microstate intensity time series is able to discriminate the two records and provide information on the onset of fatigue in the composite record. The amplitude of the fatigue state was found to be significantly higher than alert. Another study has also examined microstate segmentation in different arousal states such as alert, drowsy, and REM sleep, however, in the alpha band only. They also found that it was drowsiness that showed the greatest amounts of differences in microstates compared to relaxed wakefulness and REM sleep [9]. This method could be implemented into fatigue countermeasures using EEG signals as the success rate of detecting the beginning of fatigue using the change in amplitude was 84%, and 74% with change in gradient using phase. It was also found that the recordings that detected fatigue from the gradient method were the ones that were difficult to identify when using the amplitude method, hence, the two methods complement each other.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Shen, J. Barbera and C.M. Shapiro, "Distinguishing sleepiness and fatigue: focus on definition and measurement," *Sleep Med. Rev.* vol 10, pp. 63-76. 2006
- [2] A. Fletcher, K. McCulloch, S.D. Baulk and D. Dawson, "Countermeasures to driver fatigue: a review of public awareness campaigns and legal approaches," *Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health* vol 29, pp. 471-476. 2005
- [3] I. Brown, "Driver Fatigue," *Hum. Factors* vol 36, pp. 298-314. 1994
- [4] Y. Tran, A. Craig and P. McLsac, "Extraversion/introversion and 8-13 Hz wave in frontal cortical regions," *Pers. Individ. Differ.* Vol 30, pp. 205-215. 2001
- [5] L. J. Trejo, R., Kochavi, K, Kubitz, L. D., Montgomery, R., Rosipal, R., and B. Matthews, "EEG-based estimation of cognitive fatigue." *Proceedings of SPIE*, vol 5797, pp 105-115. 2005
- [6] R. D. Pascual-Marqui, C. M. Michel, and D. Lehmann, "Segmentation of Brain Electrical Activity into Microstates: Model Estimation and Validation", *IEEE Transactions on Biomed. Engineering*, vol. 7, pp 658-665, 1995.
- [7] J. Freudiger "Brain states analysis for direct brain computer analysis" Semester project, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, June 2003.
- [8] W. K. Melville, "Wave modulation and breakdown", *J. Fluid Mech* (128) 489-506, 1983.
- [9] J. L. Cantero, M. Atienza, R. M. Salas, and C. M. Gomez, " Brain spatial microstates of human spontaneous alpha activity in relaxed wakefulness, drowsiness period, and REM sleep," *Brain Topography*, vol 11 pp257-263, 1999.